1

2

3

5

6 7

8

10

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

> . 21

O.T.

work on even though you are making a change?

THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, yes. Any further discussion?

MR. MARTINEAU: May I comment on that?
THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. MARTINEAU: The effect of the motion would be to change the Committee's recommendation that pensions be given the constitutional protection. believe that discussion is that the Committee had on this very briefly, based upon the recommendations of the Supreme Bench that this is an important feature that it may be that the Legislature could in some way attempt to influence the judiciary by raising or lowering their pensions and this would, to a certain extent, be the same evil that could result from the Legislature lowering the salaries of the Judges while they are in office. You have put in the prohibition against lowering the salaries. It seems to me that the very same principle should apply to the lowering of pensions. If you are trying to protect the judiciary from any financial loss because of a decision that a member of the judiciary would make, the very same principles apply to pensions